Harlequin Problem of ECMO



A/Prof Kiran Shekar MBBS, FCCCM, FCICM, PhD Deputy Head, Critical Care Research Group Senior Specialist, Adult Intensive Care Services The Prince Charles Hospital, Brisbane, QId, Australia











Conflicts of interest

None relevant

I have permission to use the photos used in this presentation







THE ECLS HOTPOT

VA



S.Z



15

Objectives

 Appreciate spectrum of cardiorespiratory failure and ECLS therapies

 Understand mechanisms behind harlequin syndrome

 Discuss techniques to recognize and disrupt upper body hypoxia on VA ECMO







Spectrum of cardiorespiratory failure

- Predominant respiratory failure
- Predominant cardiac failure
- Combined cardiorespiratory failure
 - cardiac >>respiratory
 - respiratory >> cardiac







Conventional therapy

Mechanical ventilation +/- adjuncts

- Vasoactive agents and IABC
- Renal replacement
- Other supportive ICU care









Conventional therapies can fail







Expanding use of ECLS

• Extracorporeal respiratory support

Mechanical circulatory support (MCS)

 Advanced ECLS providing both respiratory and circulatory support

Extracorporeal respiratory support

- More straight forward
- VV ECMO or ECCO2R
- Cardiac function often improves after VV ECMO

Small group needs additional MCS







MCS far more complex

• Its all about choosing the

Right perfusion strategy Right time Right patient







The Grey Scale of MCS

- Acute Heart Failure
 - IABP
 - VA ECMO
 - Temporary LVAD/ BiVAD +/-oxygenator
 - Percutaneous VAD's
- Chronic Heart Failure
 - LVAD/ RVAD
 - Total Artificial Heart

REVIEW

Mechanical circulatory support in the new era: an overview

CrossMark

Kiran Shekar $^{1,2*}\!\!\!\!$, Shaun D. Gregory 1,2 and John F. Fraser $^{1,2}\!\!\!$







Adult MCS Pathways



Spectrum of Acute MCS therapies









Is there a perfect MCS strategy

- NO
- All available options have risks/benefits
- Tailored to patient
- Often Incremental
- Fem-Fem VA ECMO a good starting point for most patients







Femoro- femoral VA ECMO









VA ECMO use and outcomes

ECLS Registry Report

International Summary January, 2016



Extracorporeal Life Support Organization 2800 Plymouth Road Building 300, Room 303 Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Overall Outcomes					
	Total Patients	Survive	ed ECLS	Survived to D	C or Transfer
Neonatal					
Respiratory	28,723	24,155	84%	21,274	74%
Cardiac	6,269	3,885	62%	2,599	41%
ECPR	1,254	806	64%	514	41%
Pediatric					
Respiratory	7,210	4,787	66%	4,155	58%
Cardiac	8,021	5,341	67%	4,067	51%
ECPR	2,788	1,532	55%	1,144	41%
Adult					
Respiratory	9.102	5.989	66%	5.254	58%
Cardiac	7,850	4,394	56%	3,233	41%
ECPR	2.379	948	40%	707	30%
Total	73,596	51,837	70%	42,947	<mark>58%</mark>





Critical Care

Myocarditis

Results. Mean VA-ECMO support was 9.9 ± 19 days (range, 2 to 24 days). Cardiac recovery with ECMO weaning was achieved in 43 patients (75.5%), major complications were observed in 40 patients (70.1%), and

survival to hospital discharge occurred in 41 patients (71.9%). After hospital discharge (median follow-up, 15 months) there were 2 late deaths. The 5-year actual survival was $65.2\% \pm 7.9\%$, with recurrent self-recovering myocarditis observed in 2 patients (at 6 and 12 months from the first AFM event), and 1 heart transplantation.

Critical Care

Venoarterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Acute Fulminant Myocarditis in Adult Patients: A 5-Yea Multi-Institutional Experience

(Ann Thorac Surg 2016;101:919-26)

ICET-&

Table 4.	Multivariate	Analysis
10000 10		i horry ore

Variable	β	SE	Exp (ß)	p Value
pH before ECMO implantation	-14.251	7.148	.000	0.046
Lactate normalization, hours from ECMO implantation	0.029	0.012	1.029	0.013
Cardiac recovery	5.288	1.769	197.930	0.003



VA ECMO : major challenges

- Maintaining LV ejection
- Preventing upper body hypoxia
- Distal limb perfusion







Peripheral VA ECMO: considerations

- Only an adjunct to native heart
- ↑LV after load and ↓LV ejection
- Pulmonary reserve and upper body hypoxia

Attempts to ↑ LV ejection worsen upper body hypoxaemia in setting of ↓pulmonary function







Pulmonary reserve and peripheral VA ECMO

- Normal
- Marginal
- Can get worse subsequently : pulmonary edema , haemorrhage , infection etc

Do marginal lungs matter if heart is not ejecting well ?







Cardiac reserve and peripheral VA ECMO

- Can get better or worse
- Consequences depend on pulmonary reserve and LV distension and need for venting









Fem –fem VA ECMO

If lungs good ,

Double thumbs up !! If not,

bit of a problem

16 y old ,pneumonia , septic shock



Day 1 SOFA score = 17







16 y old ,pneumonia , septic shock

- Presenting in extremis
- Severe cardiac failure , but not critically hypoxic
- Urgent fem-fem VA ECMO
- Over next 2 days heart gets better, lungs get worse -> differential hypoxia
- Changed to VV ECMO
- Weaned off VV ECMO after 44 days







Understanding differential hypoxia







Peripheral VA ECMO and systemic oxygenation



Minimal LV ejection +/- bad lungs = retrograde perfusion from ECMO= less risk of upper body hypoxia

Some LV ejection + bad lungs = upper body hypoxia







fem-fem VA ECMO and harlequin

Differential venous oxygen return
 SVC Sao2 <<< IVC Sao2

 Lower body perfused with ECMO and upper body from native cardiac ejection

Two circuits







Two independent circuits



Critical Care

RESEARCH GROUP

ICET





ICET-&-LAB

Critical Care RESEARCH GROUP



Hou et al. Critical Care (2015) 19:68 DOI 10.1186/s13054-015-0791-2

RESEARCH





Superior vena cava drainage improves upper body oxygenation during veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in sheep

Xiaotong Hou^{1,2*}, Xiaofang Yang^{1,2}, Zhongtao Du^{1,2}, Jialin Xing^{1,2}, Hui Li^{2,3}, Chunjing Jiang^{1,2}, Jinhong Wang^{1,2}, Zhichen Xing^{1,2}, Shuanglei Li¹, Xiaokui Li⁴, Feng Yang^{1,2}, Hong Wang^{1,2} and Hui Zeng^{5,6*}













ICET-&+LAB



Is IVC-FA really a good option?

Table 1 The difference of oxygen saturation between IVC-FA and other approaches of cannulation

	SVC-FA	IVC-CA	FA-IJV
SVC	30.9 ± 0.5	39.6 ± 1.7*	46.4 ± 1.4
PA	40.2 ± 1.4	38.3 ± 1.2	22.0±0.4 ^{*#}
Aorta	40.3 ± 0.9	63.9 ± 1.3*	22.1 ± 0.6 ^{*§}
IVC	-1.3 ± 0.9	-18.8 ± 1.8^{-1}	-7.6 ± 0.7 ^{*#}











Critical Care RESEARCH GROUP



Retrograde flow on IVC-FA VAECMO



Figure 3 Aorta angiography in IVC-FA. (a) The diagram of aorta angiography. (b) Representative photos in the early stage of angiography. (c) Representative photos in the intermediate stage of angiography. (d) Representative photos in the late stage of angiography. The black arrow shows the contrast medium, which could only reach the diaphragm level. IVC-FA: inferior vena cava through the fernoral vein and a return cannula was inserted into the fernoral artery.







SVC-FA vs. IVC -FA

Critical Care

RESEARCH GROUP



IVC-FA : contrast from IVC doesn't reach RA

SVC –FA: contrast from SVC doesn't reach RA





Harlequin avoidable

- Optimal RA drainage from start
- Careful monitoring
- Optimal medical management
- Timely change of ECLS configurations







Monitoring for differential hypoxia

- Spo2/Pao2 both radial arteries, esp. R radial
- Neuro monitoring , NIRS, Bto2
- Jugular oximetry
- PA catheter -> SVO2
- Pre oxygenator sao2/pao2 poor predictors

Disrupting differential hypoxia







Medical measures

- Optimise mechanical ventilation, add inhaled nitric oxide /prostacyclin
- Minimise O2 consumption : sedation, paralysis, hypothermia
- Haemoglobin ~100
- Reduce inotropy if possible
- Modulate HR if native CO relatively high







Harlequin :problem or positive sign ?

- Bad news is lungs are bad
- Good news is heart is ejecting

Is the heart good enough to wean from VA ECMO







Perfusion strategies

- Change to VV ECMO ± inotropes, IAB/ pVAD
- Advance IVC cannula to RA/SVC
- VAV :Return oxygenated blood to RA
- VVA: additional SVC drainage cannula
- Upper body arterial return: subclavian
- Central VA ECMO

ICET

 LVAD/BiVAD configurations with oxygenator in circuit

RESEARCH GROUF



Change to VV

- Tricky as heart not fully recovered
- Turn down for assessment may precipitate upper body hypoxia crisis due to

 LV ejection
- Much safer to have another venous cannula in before turn down : if heart good change to VV if not to VAV
- If heart not fully recovered –pVAD one short term option

Push IVC cannula into SVC

- Safety concerns
- Not recommended as first line option

VAV ECMO



Return on left and right side of circulation

Increases respiratory support

Decreases cardiac support

Modulating return flows on both sides can be tricky

L. Christian Napp¹ · Christian Kühn² · Marius M. Hoeper³ · Jens Vogel-Claussen⁴ · Axel Haverich² · Andreas Schäfer¹ · Johann Bauersachs¹

Clin Res Cardiol (2016) 105:283-296







VVA ECMO



Better RV unloading

Draining hypoxic SVC blood for oxygenation

Dual lumen cannula an option but flows limited

L. Christian Napp¹ · Christian Kühn² · Marius M. Hoeper³ · Jens Vogel-Claussen⁴ · Axel Haverich² · Andreas Schäfer¹ · Johann Bauersachs¹

Clin Res Cardiol (2016) 105:283-296







Subclavian /axillary/carotid return



Cannulation not always possible

Differential flows UL oedema

Central VA ECMO



More relevant to paediatrics Invasive procedure in sick patients Allows for LV venting if need be Bleeding risks









LVAD /RVAD/ BiVAD with oxygenator in circuit



ICET-OM-LAB



ECMO circuit as temp VAD



ECMO circuit as temp BiVAD



1 pump
2 pumps
+/- Oxygentorar

Shekar et al. Critical Care 2014, 18:219 http://ccforum.com/content/18/2/219







Other Alternatives

- Combining pVADs (Impella or Tandem Heart) with VV ECMO
- costs
- short term use and arterial complications
- Hemolysis







Summary

- Harlequin syndrome a real problem esp. with fem-fem VA ECMO
- Draining just IVC/SVC suboptimal
- RA drainage and optimal medical management minimizes risks

ICET-

 Early recognition and timely change of ECLS configurations critical

RESEARCH GROU



A very warm welcome to Brisbane

APELSO Gold Coast, Australia, October 2017

The 3rd Conference of

Asia-Pacific Extra-Corporeal Life Support Organization ECMOand Beyond

www.apelso2017.com



Adult Intensive Care Services, The Prince Charles Hospital



COLLABORATING INSTITUTIONS



The Prince Charles Hospital



University of QLD



University of NSW



Helmholtz Institute Aachen



Queensland University of Technology



Griffith University



Ibaraki University



Gunma University



Institut Jantung Negara





















University of Malaya



University of Alabama at Birmingham



Aston University



The Alfred Hospital



St Vincents Hospital



HDZ NRW Bad Oeynhausen



Rikshospitalet, University hospital Oslo



Texas Heart Institute





















THANK YOU